1	Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263		
2			
3	Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900		
4	blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff		
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
10			
11	PACIFIC CENTURY INTERNATIONAL) LTD.,)	No. C-11-03823 MEJ	
12	Plaintiff,) v.	DECLARATION OF BRETT L. GIBBS IN RESPONSE TO COURT'S ORDER	
13	DOES 1-48,	FOR PLAINTIFF TO FILE DECLARATION	
14	Defendant(s).		
15			
16	I, Brett L. Gibbs, declare as follows:		
17	1. I am an attorney at law licensed to practice in California, and admitted in the		
18	Northern District of California. My business address is 38 Miller Avenue, #263, Mill Valley, CA,		
19	94941. I am counsel of record for Plaintiff in this matter.		
20	2. On December 1, 2011, the Court issued an order requiring Plaintiff to file a		
21	declaration addressing seven specific case-management related inquiries. This is the responding		
22	Declaration. Attached to this Declaration is Exhibit A, which addresses four of the first five of the		
23	Court's inquiries regarding data information. The information contained in Exhibit A is incorporated		
24	as if stated in this declaration, and based on my belief that the recordation of these events is true and		
25	accurate.		
26	3. Inquiry number four requires	Plaintiff to provide the exact "date on which the ISP	
27	served the subpoena on the Doe Defendant." Per the Court's order, the Internet Service Provider has		
28	a 30-day window within which it must provide a copy of the subpoena to the individual subscriber. I		

1

6

9

11

12

13

14

10

15 16

18

19

17

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

am informed and believe that all subpoenas are served upon subscribers accordingly. However, the Internet Service Providers do not report to me, or my firm, regarding the exact date/time that they serve the subpoenas.

- 4. Inquiry number six requests a response to the statement that: "If Plaintiff has obtained the Doe Defendant's identifying information, an explanation as to why the defendant has not been named and why no proof of service has been filed, as well as why the Court should not dismiss the defendant pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m)." As the Court will note on Exhibit A, the only subpoenas returned to Plaintiff were returned on December 1, 2011. In other words, Plaintiff has had minimal opportunity to establish contact with those individuals, let alone serve them in this manner. For these reasons, the Court should not dismiss the case pursuant to Rule 4(m) because Plaintiff, as explained, has good cause to extend time for service of the on the Doe Defendants.
- 5. Inquiry number seven requests a response to the statement that: "If Plaintiff has obtained the Doe Defendant's identifying information and the location is outside of the Northern District of California, why the Court should not dismiss the Doe Defendant for lack of jurisdiction and/or improper venue." The Court should not dismiss any Doe Defendants for lack of personal jurisdiction because to date none of the subpoena responses indicate that subscribers reside outside of the State of California. Plaintiff used geolocation in an attempt to restrict the Doe Defendants to persons in California. Although at best imperfect, geolocation has been successful in this regard thus far. The Doe Defendants—to the extent they reside in California—are unquestionably subject to personal jurisdiction in the Northern District of California. Brayton Purcell LLP v. Recordon & Recordon, 575 F.3d 981, 984 n. 3 (9th Cir. 2009). The venue inquiry is premature at this early stage of receiving the Doe Defendants' identifying information. First, Plaintiff is actively involved in verifying the identifying information provided by the ISP's in the subpoena responses that have been fulfilled—so there is no confirmation that the addresses provided by the ISP's are up-to-date. Second, once the addresses are verified Plaintiff still needs to verify that the infringers are not subject to service in this District—either by reason of attending school in this District, making

Only after these determinations have been made would a venue inquiry be appropriate. 6. To cure any venue concerns, Plaintiff affirms that, should it discover that Defendants do in fact reside outside of the Northern District of California and are not subject to service in this District, then Plaintiff will dismiss them without prejudice fro and pursue them separately in the relevant district. 7. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to own personal knowledge, except for those matters stated on information and belief matters I believe to be true. If called upon to testify, I can and will competently testify above. 11. By: SI Brett I. Gibbs, Esq. (BBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff		
6. To cure any venue concerns, Plaintiff affirms that, should it discover that Defendants do in fact reside outside of the Northern District of California and are not subject to service in this District, then Plaintiff will dismiss them without prejudice fro and pursue them separately in the relevant district. 7. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to own personal knowledge, except for those matters stated on information and belief matters I believe to be true. If called upon to testify, I can and will competently testify above. Respectfully Submitted, PRENDA LAW INC. Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. Will Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff 22 23 24 25 26 26 27	$1 \parallel 1$	business trips to this District, vacationing in this District or otherwise being present in this District.
Defendants do in fact reside outside of the Northern District of California and are not subject to service in this District, then Plaintiff will dismiss them without prejudice fro and pursue them separately in the relevant district. 7. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to own personal knowledge, except for those matters stated on information and belief matters I believe to be true. If called upon to testify, I can and will competently testify above. Respectfully Submitted, PRENDA LAW INC. By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blejibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Plaintiff	$2 \parallel 0$	Only after these determinations have been made would a venue inquiry be appropriate.
subject to service in this District, then Plaintiff will dismiss them without prejudice fro and pursue them separately in the relevant district. 7. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct be own personal knowledge, except for those matters stated on information and belief matters I believe to be true. If called upon to testify, I can and will competently testify above. 11. Respectfully Submitted, PRENDA LAW INC. 12. By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 bleibs/gwefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 26. 27. 27. 28. 28. 28. 28. 28. 28. 28. 28. 28. 28	3	6. To cure any venue concerns, Plaintiff affirms that, should it discover that certain Doe
and pursue them separately in the relevant district. 7. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to own personal knowledge, except for those matters stated on information and belief matters I believe to be true. If called upon to testify, I can and will competently testify above. Respectfully Submitted, PRENDA LAW INC. DATED: December 5, 2011 By: // Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Plaintiff	4]	Defendants do in fact reside outside of the Northern District of California and are not likely to be
7. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct be own personal knowledge, except for those matters stated on information and belief matters I believe to be true. If called upon to testify, I can and will competently testify above. Respectfully Submitted, PRENDA LAW INC. By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Plaintiff	$5 \parallel s$	subject to service in this District, then Plaintiff will dismiss them without prejudice from this case,
own personal knowledge, except for those matters stated on information and belief matters I believe to be true. If called upon to testify, I can and will competently testify above. Respectfully Submitted, PRENDA LAW INC. By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Plaintiff	6	and pursue them separately in the relevant district.
matters I believe to be true. If called upon to testify, I can and will competently testify above. Respectfully Submitted, PRENDA LAW INC. By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Plaintiff	7	7. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based on my
above. Respectfully Submitted, PRENDA LAW INC.	8 0	own personal knowledge, except for those matters stated on information and belief, and those
Respectfully Submitted, PRENDA LAW INC.	9 1	matters I believe to be true. If called upon to testify, I can and will competently testify as set forth
Respectfully Submitted, PRENDA LAW INC.	0 8	above.
PRENDA LAW INC. DATED: December 5, 2011 By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	1	
DATED: December 5, 2011 By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	2	Respectfully Submitted,
By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	3	PRENDA LAW INC.
By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff 22 23 24 25 26 27	4]	DATED: December 5, 2011
Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	5	By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs Esa
38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	6	Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
18		Mill Valley, CA 94941
Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Plaintiff 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com
21 22 23 24 25 26 27		Attorney for Plaintiff
22 23 24 25 26 27		
23 24 25 26 27		
24 25 26 27		
25 26 27		
26 27		
27		
28 GIBBS DECLARATION IN RESPONSE TO COURT ORDER No. C-	8 -	